

Course Syllabus Ecology, Environment and Social Responsibility

August – December 2021

IX Semester

Portillo, Bruno



I. General Information

Course Name:	Ecology, Environment and Social Responsability		
Requires:	Filosofía y Ética, Economía General	Code:	10325
Precedent:	N.A.	Semester:	2021-2
Credits:	3	Term:	IX
Weekly hours:	4	Course mode:	Syncronous remote
Program(s)	Consumer Psychology, Organizational Psychology	Course coordinator:	Jubitza Franciskovic ifranciskovic@esan.edu.pe

II. Summary

This course aims to inform, and develop analytical skills about environmental phenomena and the social implications and responsibilities that it raises from companies, organizations and individuals. Following this a wide range of fundamental and applied knowledge is provided which can serve as the basis for assessment and improvement of organizational social-environmental relations. For this the course provides the main theories, concepts and background on social and environmental responsibility, environmental thinking and history, and global environmental change and its problems

III. Course Objectives

The course of Ecology, Environment and Responsibility aims to develop the students' analytical skills, provide basic knowledge and strategic skills regarding the interrelation between the environment, society, and economic and organizational performance. In particular it aims to strengthen the students' cognitive resources and analytical experience by informing on the historical biophysical processes of local and global ecologies tracking major changes in humanity up to the contemporary global environmental crisis; presenting and recreating the current debates and projects to solve problems and take opportunities confronting socio-environmental changes; and explaining and exercising corporate social responsibility strategies for innovative and sustainable competitiveness.

Develop analytical skills, cognitive resources and strategic skills regarding the interrelation between the environment, society, the economy and organizational performance via the provision and analytical experience: by informing on the historical biophysical processes of local and global ecologies tracking major changes in humanity up to the contemporary global environmental crisis; presenting and recreating the current debates and projects to solve problems and take opportunities confronting socio-environmental changes; and explaining and exercising corporate social responsibility strategies for innovative and sustainable competitiveness.

IV. Learning Outcomes

After completing the course, the student will have the following competences:



- Will have acquired basic knowledge and concepts related to global ecology in a historical setting and multiple perspective: the individual, business, society and the supporting environment.
- Will have up-to-date knowledge on the main environmental problems and changes (from global to local), and the debates, conflicts and opportunities around them.
- Will be informed about the relationship between ecology and corporate social responsibility (CSR) from different CSR strategies for sustainable organizational environmental performance, efficient and beneficial to the market.
- Be able to propose, discuss, and analyze corporate social responsibility strategies for sustainable organizational environmental performance, efficient and beneficial in the market.
- Understand the historical social-environmental processes and modern intellectual traditions related to contemporary global environmental change.
- Understand the science, effects and strategies related to environmental problems for business, states, and individuals.
- Analyze problems and evaluate solutions facing global environmental change.
- Understand and apply from a multi-perspective angle the concept of sustainable development with a historical basis of its construction, including conceptual lineages and current developments.
- Develop analytical skills to apply the concept to assess societal initiatives.
- Understand critically fundamental concepts related to organizational sustainability/CSR with a multi-actor perspective.
- Basic skills for assessment and application of organizational sustainability/CSR initiatives in an ecological crisis context.

V. Methodology

The course will be lectured in English and the students are expected to have reading, writing and oral skills at an intermediate-advanced level.

Throughout the course, the lecturer will guide the learning process with a mix of pedagogical tools including exposition via presentations and audiovisual material, a variety of web-based activities and research and reading homework. The Virtual Classroom will be used for communication, coordination and as study materials repository.

VI. Evaluation

The evaluation system is permanent and comprehensive. The course grade is obtained by averaging the continuous evaluation component (PEP= 50%), the midterm exam, (EP=25%) and the final exam (EF=25%).

Midterm and final exams modality will depend on group size and will be composed of two or only one of the following evaluation tools: web-based test, an essay, and/or an oral exam

The continuous evaluation component will result from assessment of weekly activities that include forums participation, short essays, guizzes, and group teamwork assignments.

The weights within the continuous evaluation are described in the following table:

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION AVERAGE 50% (PEP)		
Evaluation type	Description	Weight %
Short Essay	1 written short essay	6



Quiz	4 web-based short tests	8
Forum	5 participations in forums	12
Teamwork.	4 group assignments	24

The final average grade (PF) is obtained through the following method:

Where:

PF = Final average grade

EP = Midterm exam

PEP = Continuous Evaluation Average

EF = Final exam



VII. Scheduled Contents

WEEK	CONTENTS	ACTIVITIES /ASSESSMENTS	
LEARNING UNIT 1: ENVIRONMENTAL HISTORY AND ECOLOGICAL THOUGHT			
Understand the historical social-environmental processes and modern intellectual tradition related to contemporary global environmental change.			
	Course introduction 1.1. Environmental Awareness and Environmental Education 1.2. Ecological Thought		
1º August 23-28	Koger, S y Dunann, D. (2010). What on earth are we doing? In <i>The psychology of environmental problems: Psychology for sustainability</i> (pp.1-25). Psychology Press.	Forum 01: Presentation and interests	
	Koger, S y Dunann, D. (2010). The nature of Western thought In <i>The psychology of environmental problems: Psychology for sustainability</i> (pp. 26-51). Psychology Press.		
	1.3. History of Socio Ecological Systems		
2	Antrosio, J. (2013, January 26). Eric Wolf, Europe and the People Without History. http://www.livinganthropologically.com/2013/01/26/eric-wolf-europe-people-without-history/	Short Essay 01: Humans, Environment and	
August 30 – September 04	Diamond, J. (1998). Guns, germs and steel: a short history of everybody for the last 13,000 years. Random House. Chapters 4 and 10.	the past.	
	York, R., & Mancus, P. (2007). Diamond in the Rough: Reflections on Guns, Germs, and Steel. <i>Human Ecology Review</i> , <i>14</i> (2), 157-162.		
	2: GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE		
related to cor	the historical social-environmental processes and modern intemporary global environmental change. The science, effects and strategies related to environmental processes.		
	lems and evaluate solutions facing global environmental change		
3 September 06-11	2.1. Climate change: Science 2.2. Climate change: Impacts.		
	Stevens, F. et al. (Producers) & Stevens, F. (Director) (2016) Before the Flood [Motion picture]. National Geographic	Quiz 01: Climate Change Science and Actions	
	IPCC (2013, November 21) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis [Video]. Youtube. https://youtu.be/6yiTZm0y1YA	3.13 7 10110	
	IPCC (2014, March 30) Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability [Video]. Youtube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jMIFBJYpSgM		



4 September 13-18	2.3. Climate change: Policies and action. 2.4. Non-climate global environmental change: Biodiversity, Deforestation, Water, Soil IPCC (2014, June 6) Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change [Video]. Youtube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gDcGz1iVm6U United Nations Environment Programme (2011, October). Keeping Track of Our Changing Environment: From Rio to Rio+20 (1992-2012). https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view &type=400&nr=321&menu=1515	Forum 02: Environmental problems interrelations		
5	2.5. Non-climate global environmental change: Cities, Air, Waste			
September 20- 25	United Nations Environment Programme (2011, October). Keeping Track of Our Changing Environment: From Rio to Rio+20 (1992-2012). https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view &type=400&nr=321&menu=1515	Teamwork 01: Causal loop diagram analysis		
LEARNING UNIT	│ 「3: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CONCEPTS AND TOOLS			
 LEARNING OUT Understand a historical b 	 LEARNING OUTCOMES: Understand and apply from a multi-perspective angle the concept of sustainable development with a historical basis of its construction, including conceptual lineages and current developments 			
6	3.1. Development, Sustainability 3.2. Sustainable Development.	Oui- oo		
September 27- October 02	Colby, M. (1991). Environmental management in development: the evolution of paradigms. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , <i>3</i> (3), 193-213.	Quiz 02: development & sustainability		
7	3.3. Sustainable Development concepts and analysis part 1. 3.4. Sustainable Development concepts and analysis part 2.			
October 04-09				
	Pelenc, J., Ballet, J., & Dedeurwaerdere, T. (2015). Weak sustainability versus strong sustainability - Brief for GSDR United Nations. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view &type=111&nr=6569&menu=35	Forum 03: What is strong & what is weak sustainability?		
8	MID TERM EXAMS			
October 11-16				
9 October 18-23	3.5. Ecosystem Services & Valuation. 3.6. Footprints.	Teamwork 02: Valuation and measures for sustainability		
0010DG1 10-23		Sustainability		



Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Nelll, R., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R., Sutton, P. & van den Belt, M. H. (1996). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. <i>Nature</i> , <i>387</i> (6630), 253-260.	
Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S. & Turner, R. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. <i>Global environmental change</i> , 26, 152-158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002	
Fang, K., Heijungs, R., & de Snoo, G. (2013). The footprint family: Comparison and interaction of the ecological, energy, carbon and water footprints. <i>Revue De Métallurgie</i> , 110(1), 77-86. https://doi.org/10.1051/metal/2013051	

LEARNING UNIT 4: CORPORATE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY LEARNING OUTCOMES:

- Understand critically fundamental concepts related to organizational sustainability/CSR with a multi-actor perspective.
- Develop basic skills for assessment and application of organizational sustainability/CSR initiatives in an ecological crisis context.

10 October 25-30	 4.1. CESR Introduction and definitions. 4.2. Instrumental. Stakeholders and Political CSR Approaches. Kakabadse, N., Rozuel, C. & Lee-Davies, L. (2005). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder approach: a conceptual review. <i>International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics</i>, 1(4), 277-302. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBGE.2005.006733 Scherer, A., Rasche, A. & Palazzo, G. (2016). Managing for political corporate social responsibility: New challenges and directions for PCSR 2.0. <i>Journal of Management Studies</i>, 53(3), 273-298. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12203 	Quiz 03: CESR approaches and elements
11 November 02-06	4.3. CESR Management tools Mazurkiewicz, P. (2004). Corporate environmental responsibility: Is a common CSR framework possible? http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/5770514683390 93024/pdf/421830csrframework01PUBLIC1.pdf	Forum 04: Sustainability code
12 November 08-13	4.4. CESR Strategies Cedillo, C., Garcia-French, M., Hordijk, R., Nguyen, K., & Olup, L. (2012, November). Four Case Studies on Corporate Social Responsibility: Do Conflicts Affect a Company's Corporate Social Responsibility Policy? <i>Utrecht Law Review</i> , 8(3), 51-73.	Teamwork 03: Products, Services as solutions
13	4.5. Ethical and green Markets	Quiz 04: Ethical markets



November 15-20	Di Giulio, A., Fischer, D., Schäfer, M. & Blättel-Mink, B. (2014). Conceptualizing sustainable consumption: toward an integrative framework. <i>Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy</i> , <i>10</i> (1), 45-61. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2014.11908124	
14 November 22-27	4.6. Ethical Consumers Szmigin, I. & Carrigan, M. (2005) Exploring the dimensions of ethical consumption. Advances in Consumer Research. Association for Consumer Research Conference, 7, 608-613.	Teamwork 04: Consumer profiles
15 November 29- December 04	4.7. Alternative Organizations Doherty, B., Haugh, H., & Lyon, F. (2014). Social Enterprises as Hybrid Organizations: A Review and Research Agenda. International Journal Of Management Reviews, 16(4), 417-436. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12028 Gibson, J.K., J. Cameron, K. Dombroski, S. Healy, E. Miller and the Community Economies Collective. 2018. "Cultivating Community Economies". An Essay for the Next System Project. Online at http://www.communityeconomies.org/sites/default/files/2019-03/Next%20System%20Project%2C%20Community%20Economies%2C%20Final.pdf.	Forum 05: Alternatives around us
16 December 06-11	FINAL EXAMS	

VIII. References

Basic Bibliography:

- Antrosio, J. (2013, January 26). *Eric Wolf, Europe and the People Without History.* http://www.livinganthropologically.com/2013/01/26/eric-wolf-europe-people-without-history/
- Colby, M. (1991). Environmental management in development: the evolution of paradigms. *Ecological Economics*, *3*(3), 193-213.
- Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Nelll, R., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R., Sutton, P. y van den Belt, M. H. (1996). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, *387*(6630), 253-260.
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S. y Turner, R. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. *Global environmental change*, 26, 152-158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002
- Di Giulio, A., Fischer, D., Schäfer, M., & Blättel-Mink, B. (2014). Conceptualizing sustainable consumption: toward an integrative framework. *Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy, 10*(1), 45-61. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2014.11908124
- Diamond, J. (1998). Guns, germs, and steel: the fates of human societies. Random House



- Doherty, B., Haugh, H., & Lyon, F. (2014). Social Enterprises as Hybrid Organizations: A Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal Of Management Reviews*, 16(4), 417-436. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12028
- Gibson, J.K., J. Cameron, K. Dombroski, S. Healy, E. Miller and the Community Economies Collective. 2018. "Cultivating Community Economies". An Essay for the Next System Project. Online at http://www.communityeconomies.org/sites/default/files/2019-03/Next%20System%20Project%2C%20Community%20Economies%2C%20Final.pdf.
- Kakabadse, N. K., Rozuel, C., & Lee-Davies, L. (2005). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder approach: a conceptual review. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, 1(4), 277-302. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBGE.2005.006733
- Koger, S., y Dunnen, D. (2010). *The psychology of environmental problems: Psychology for sustainability*. Psychology press.
- Mazurkiewicz, P. (2004). Corporate environmental responsibility: Is a common CSR framework possible?

 http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/577051468339093024/pdf/421830csrfram ework01PUBLIC1.pdf
- Pelenc, J., Ballet, J., & Dedeurwaerdere, T. (2015). Weak sustainability versus strong sustainability. Brief for Global Sustainable Development Report. United Nations. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&type=111&nr=6569&men u=35
- Scherer, A. G., Rasche, A. & Palazzo, G. (2016). Managing for political corporate social responsibility: New challenges and directions for PCSR 2.0. *Journal of Management Studies*, *53*(3), 273-298. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12203
- Szmigin, I. and Carrigan, M. (2005) Exploring the dimensions of ethical consumption. *Advances in Consumer Research. Association for Consumer Research Conference*, 7, 608:613.
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2011, October) *Keeping Track of Our Changing Environment. From Rio to Rio+20 (1992–2012)*. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&type=400&nr=321&menu=1515
- York, R., & Mancus, P. (2007). Dimond in the rough: Reflections on Guns, germs, and steel. Human Ecology Review, 14(2), 157-162

Complementary Bibliography:

- Blackburn, W. (2008) The sustainability handbook: the complete management guide to achieving social, economic and environmental responsibility. Environmental Law Institute.
- Carson, R. (2002). Silent spring. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Cedillo, C., Garcia-French, M., Hordijk, R., Nguyen, K., & Olup, L. (2012, November). Four Case Studies on Corporate Social Responsibility: Do Conflicts Affect a Company's Corporate Social Responsibility Policy? *Utrecht Law Review*, 8(3), 51-73.
- Chertow, M. (2000). The IPAT equation and its variants. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *4*(4), 13-29.
- Clark, G., Kosoris, J., Hong, L. N., & Crul, M. (2009). Design for sustainability: current trends in sustainable product design and development. *Sustainability*, 1(3), 409-424.
- Fang, K., Heijungs, R., & de Snoo, G. (2013). The footprint family: Comparison and interaction of the ecological, energy, carbon and water footprints. *Revue De Métallurgie*, 110(1), 77-86.
 - https://doi.org/10.1051/metal/2013051
- Franco, P. (2007). *Diagnóstico de la responsabilidad social en el Perú.* http://hdl.handle.net/11354/323



- Keitsch, M. (2012) Sustainable Design: A Brief Appraisal of its Main Concepts. *Sustainable Development*, 20(3), 180-188.
- Kuhlman, T. & Farrington, J. (2010). What is Sustainability? *Sustainability*, 2(11), pp. 3436-3448. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2113436
- Libiszewski, S. (1991). What is an environmental conflict. *Journal of peace research*, 28(4), 407-422.
- Manzini, E. & Vezzoli, C. (2007) *Product-Service Systems and Sustainability: Opportunities for Sustainable Solutions*. United Nations Environment Programme. https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/8123/-Product-Service%20Systems%20and%20Sustainability_%20Opportunities%20for%20Sustainabile%20Solutions-20021192.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y
- Martinez-Alier, J. (2004). Ecological distribution conflicts and indicators of sustainability. *International Journal of Political Economy*, 34(1), 13-30.
- Mc Neill, John Robert, (2000), Something New Under the Sun. Norton.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis. *Island Press.*
- Renner, M., Sweeney, S., Kubit, J., & Mastney, L. (2008). Green Jobs: working for people and the environment, 177. *Worldwatch Institute*.
- Robinson, N. (2012). Beyond sustainability: environmental management for the Anthropocene Epoch. *Journal Of Public Affairs*, 12,(3), 181-194.
- Tallontire, A., Rentsendorj, E., & Blowfield, M. (2001). Ethical consumers and ethical trade: A review of current literature. *London: Natural Resources Institute*.
- Wolf, E. (1987). Europe and the people without history. Cambridge.
- Worster, D. (1994). Nature's economy: a history of ecological ideas. Cambridge.
- Vining, J. (2003). The connection to other animals and caring for nature. *Human Ecology Review*, *10*(2), 87-99.

IX. Lecturer

Bruno R. Portillo Seminario MSc. bportillo@esan.edu.pe